STAT V ARTICLE APPEARED ON PAGE 77.

WALL STREET JOURNAL 18 January 1982

## Who's Talking?

has attempted, at some point, a crackdown on news leaks and public divergences from policy by maverick officials. The Reagan administration, for all the President's coolness and good humor, has reached that point. As always, this is a cosmetic treatment for a managerial problem that can only be dealt with effectively in more fundamental terms.

The control mechanisms that are:being deployed from the White House are not only cosmetic, but embarrassing. We have, for example, Deputy. Defense Secretary Carlucci volunteering to be the first to take a lie detector. test as part of an effort to find out who ... leaked a horrendous-looking \$750 bild.... The solution to the problem, lion horseback estimate of additional though, is not to try to cut off contact needed defense spending over the next with the press. The administration alseven years. If that leak caused any ready has enough problems making itpolitical problem, the lie-detector ploy 

Then we have White House Communications Director David Gergen setting out to "coordinate" the TV appearances and major policy statements of Cabinet officers and other honchos. Mr. Gergen may have been a good choice, since some of his own cocktail party chitchat critical of presidential policies recently ended up ina Baltimore newspaper. But throttling similar indiscretions elsewhere in government calls for a great deal more than a functionary with direct knowledge of the problem.

It calls for something Mr. Gergen is not in a position to deliver: clearer lines of communication and authority between the President and his senior officials. If those lines are functioning a sions are carried out. They should be properly, it should be always evident a clearly identified, through the means where the administration stands on important policy issues. When that prerequisite is in place, it should then be made abundantly clear to lesser of fairs.

ficials that they will risk embarrass.

The President is already moving be made abundantly clear to lesser ofconsequences if they go public with appointment of his old friend William

that those lesser officials should have - and social policy disordered. Managean opportunity to be heard when the decision is being formulated, and they a ganization built from scratch at the should be convinced that their hearing is genuine. If they think they are being nearly a year, the necessary shakesuppressed, or used, by someone on a down should be further advanced than

Every President in our memory We in the newspaper business are willing and eager to provide the means for debate; and we are convinced that open debate is vital to the health of democracy. On the other hand, we recognize that a President has a point in believing that once he has made a decision, it is time for his underlings to end the debate and carry it out. How can a government, or any organization, function otherwise? And in our most candid moments, we would have to admit that the press does not always play fair with either its readers or the President when it allows itself to be used by undisclosed sources surreptitiously to try to torpedo existing policy.

> self clear without a wet blanket on its own spokesmen. The solution is for the administration to make its own policies and own procedures clear to itself. If every policy is constantly up for a committee decision, you are constantly inviting contending parties to fight it out through leaks to the press. And here the leading example has not been national security policy but tax policy, where a constant series of leaks has fueled speculation that the President is going to reverse himself.

Instead of looking for ways to manage contacts with unruly reporters, the President-should be looking for ways to solve the management problem within the administration. He needs a few-very few-key people in charge who will insure that policy channels are kept open and that decithat are available to a President, as his policy spokesmen in three key areas, economic, social and foreign af-

ment and possibly even more serious." that way, at least potentially, with the contrary notions. Clark as National Security Adviser. Now it is of course fundamental But economic policy remains cluttered ment problems are inevitable in an oroutset of a presidential term. But after